UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,190	09/10/2003	Satoru Adachi	9683/259	3245
757 BRINKS HOE	7590 03/28/2007 FER GILSON & LIONE		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 10395			COUSO, YON JUNG	
CHICAGO, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		2624	
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/658,190	ADACHI ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Yon Couso	2624	
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHO WHIC - Exten after S - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: cause the application to become ABAN	TION. / be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	,			
2a) <u></u> 3) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\frac{1/22/6}{2}$. This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i> .	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters	s, prosecution as to the merits is	
Disposition	on of Claims			
5)	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. (a) Of the above claim(s) 1-12 is/are withdrawn claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 13-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner of the oath of the oa	election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance on is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[∑	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in App ity documents have been re (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage	
Attachment	(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Cother:				

Art Unit: 2624

1. This office action is in response to the telephone inquiry made by Mr. Tadashi Horie (Reg. No. 40,437) on March 20, 2007.

- 2. Applicant's election without traverse of Group II claims 13-20 in the reply filed on January 22, 2007 is acknowledged.
- 3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 19 and 20 are directed to computer program product. Claims 19-20 are drawn to descriptive material NOT claimed as residing on a computer readable medium. Data structures not claimed as embodied in computer-readable media are descriptive material per se and are not statutory because they are not capable of causing functional change in the computer. See, e.g., Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory). Such claimed data structures do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the data structure and other claimed aspects of the invention which permit the data structure's functionality to be realized.

Computer programs claimed as computer listings per se, i.e., the descriptions or expressions of the programs are not physical "things." They are neither computer components nor statutory processes, as they are not "acts" being performed. Such claimed computer programs do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and other claimed elements of a

Art Unit: 2624

computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized. In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. Accordingly, it is important to distinguish claims that define descriptive material per se from claims that define statutory inventions.

Computer programs are often recited as part of a claim. Office personnel should determine whether the computer program is being claimed as part of an otherwise statutory manufacture or machine. In such a case, the claim remains statutory irrespective of the fact that a computer program is included in the claim. The same result occurs when a computer program is used in a computerized process where the computer executes the instructions set forth in the computer program. Only when the claimed invention taken as a whole is directed to a mere program listing, i.e., to only its description or expression, is it descriptive material per se and hence nonstatutory.

Since a computer program is merely a set of instructions capable of being executed by a computer, the computer program itself is not a process and Office personnel should treat a claim for a computer program, without the computer-readable medium needed to realize the computer program's functionality, as nonstatutory functional descriptive material.

When a computer program is claimed in a process where the computer is executing the computer program's instructions, Office personnel should treat the claim as a process claim. See paragraph IV.B.2(b). When a computer program is recited in

Art Unit: 2624

conjunction with a physical structure, such as a computer memory, Office personnel should treat the claim as a product claim. See paragraph IV.B.2(a).

In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with data structure defines structural interrelationships between the data structure and the computer software and hardware components which permits the data structure 's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory (MPEP 2106.IV.B.1(a)).

4. Claims 13, 14, 17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 13, lines 12-14 "a flag indicating whether use is eliminated of every image previously stored in the image storage means" is vague and indefinite as to exactly what the flag indicates.

Claims 17 and 19 have the same problem.

Claim 14 depends from an indefinite antecedent claim.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) and (b) as being anticipated by Wiegand "Text of Final Committee Draft of Joint Video Specification".

Art Unit: 2624

As for claim 13, Wiegand teaches a video encoding apparatus comprising: input means for effecting input of an image as a target for encoding (3.29); encoding means for encoding the image to generate encoded data (3.31); image storage means for storing an image regenerated after encoded by the encoding means (8.3.6.5); and buffer management means for managing every image stored in the image storage means (8.3.6.5), wherein, on the occasion of encoding an image encoded without reference to any other image, the buffer management means outputs along with the encoded data, a flag indicating whether use is eliminated of every image previously stored in the image storage means (8.3.6.5; 8.3.6.7.1; and 8.3.6.7.6).

As to claim 14, Wiegand teaches that the encoding means implements backward interframe prediction from a temporally subsequent frame, and wherein, on the occasion of encoding the image encoded without reference to any other image, the buffer management means deletes a decoded image of every temporally subsequent frame previously stored in the image storage means (8.3.6.5; 8.3.6.7.1; and 8.3.6.7.6).

As to claim 15, Wiegand teaches a video decoding apparatus comprising: input means for effecting input of image data containing encoded data of an encoded image, and an image output instruction flag added to the encoded data (3.26 and 3.36); decoding means for decoding the encoded data to generate a regenerated image (3.27); image storage means for storing the regenerated image (8.3.6.5); and image buffer management means for managing every regenerated stored in the image storage means (8.3.6.5), wherein the buffer management means deletes every image stored in the image storage means, in accordance with the image output instruction flag

Art Unit: 2624

corresponding to an image encoded without reference to any image stored in the image storage means (8.3.6.5; 8.3.6.7.1; and 8.3.6.7.6).

As to claim 16, Wiegand teaches that the image output instruction flag is "0," use is eliminated of every reference image in a buffer, and where the flag is "I," every reference image and every output queueing image in the buffer are deleted (8.3.6.7.6).

As to claim 17, Wiegand teaches a video encoding method comprising: an input step wherein a video encoding apparatus effects input of an image as a target for encoding (3.29); an encoding step wherein the video encoding apparatus encodes the image to generate encoded data (3.31); an image storage step wherein the video encoding apparatus stores an image regenerated after encoded in the encoding step, into image storage means (8.3.6.5); and a buffer management step wherein the video encoding apparatus manages every image stored in the image storage means (8.3.6.5), wherein in the buffer management step, on the occasion of encoding an image encoded without reference to any other image, the video encoding apparatus outputs along with the encoded data, a flag indicating whether use is eliminated of every image previously stored in the image storage means (8.3.6.5; 8.3.6.7.1; and 8.3.6.7.6).

As to claim 18, Wiegand teaches a video decoding method comprising: an input step wherein a video decoding apparatus effects input of image data containing encoded data of an encoded image, and an image output instruction flag added to the encoded data (3.26; 3.33; and 3.36); a decoding step wherein the video decoding apparatus decodes the encoded data to generate a regenerated image (3.27); an image storage step wherein the video decoding apparatus stores the regenerated image into

Art Unit: 2624

image storage means (8.3.6.5); and a buffer management step wherein the video decoding apparatus manages every regenerated image stored in the image storage means (8.3.6.5), wherein in the buffer management step, the video decoding apparatus deletes every image stored in the image storage means, in accordance with the image output instruction flag corresponding to an image encoded without reference to any image stored in the image storage means (8.3.6.5; 8.3.6.7.1; and 8.3.6.7.6).

As to claim 19, Wiegand teaches a video encoding program for letting a video encoding apparatus substantialize: a function of effecting input of an image as a target for encoding (3.29); a function of encoding the image to generate encoded data (3.31); a function of storing an image regenerated after encoded, into image storage means (8.3.6.5); a function of managing every image stored in the image storage means (8.3.6.5); and a function of outputting along with the encoded data, a flag indicating whether use is eliminated of every image previously stored in the image storage means, on the occasion of encoding an image encoded without reference to any other image (8.3.6.5; 8.3.6.7.1; and 8.3.6.7.6).

As to claim 20, Wiegand teaches a video decoding program for letting a video apparatus substantialize: a function of effecting input of image data containing encoded data of an encoded image, and an image output instruction flag added to the encoded data (3.26 and 3.36); a function of decoding the encoded data to generate a regenerated image (3.27); a function of storing the regenerated image into image storage means (8.3.6.5); a function of managing every generated image stored in the image storage means (8.3.6.5); and a function of deleting every image stored in the

Art Unit: 2624

Page 8

image storage means, in accordance with the image output instruction flag corresponding to an image encoded without reference to any image stored in the image storage means (8.3.6.5; 8.3.6.7.1; and 8.3.6.7.6).

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Setoguchi et al is cited.

7.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yon Couso whose telephone number is (571) 272-7448. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis, can be reached on (571) 272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

YJC

March 21, 2007

YON J. COURD
RIMARY EXAMINER